

International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences ISSN: 2319-7706 Volume 6 Number 6 (2017) pp. 1853-1866 Journal homepage: <u>http://www.ijcmas.com</u>



Original Research Article

https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.606.216

Relative Efficacy and Economics of Bio-pesticides against Spodoptera litura (Fab.) on Cabbage

G.C. Jat^{1*}, R. Swaminathan¹, P.C. Yadav¹, Swati², H.L. Deshwal³, Suman Choudhary⁴ and Suresh Kumar Yadav¹

 ¹Department of Entomology, ²Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, (MPUAT) Udaipur, (Rajasthan) 313001, India
 ³Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture and Agriculture Research Station, (SKRAU) Bikaner, (Rajasthan), India
 ⁴Department of Entomology, SKN College of Agriculture, (SKNAU) Jobner, Jaipur (Rajasthan) 303329, India
 *Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Keywords

Spodoptera litura (Fab.), SINPV, Spinosad, Bt.k. and Cabbage variety Golden acre.

Article Info

Accepted: 23 May 2017 Available Online: 10 June 2017 The field experiment on "Relative efficacy and economics of bio-pesticides" was conducted during 2012-13 and 2013-14 at Horticulture farm and Department of Entomology Rajasthan College of Agriculture, (MPUAT) Udaipur (Rajasthan) during rabi 2012-13 and 2013-14. The relative efficacy of three biopesticides viz., Spinosad, Bt.k. and SINPV in alone and in different combinations in nine different schedule (Spinosad-45SC at 200g/ha, Bt.k. (Dipel 8L) at 11it, SINPV-250LE at 250ml/ha, Spinosad-45SC at 200g/h-SINPV-250LE at 250ml/ha SINPV-250LE at 250ml/ha, Spinosad-45SC at 200g/ha-Bt.k.-(Dipel 8L) at 1lit -Bt.k-(Dipel 8L) at 1lit., SINPV-250LE at 250ml/ha- Spinosad-45SC at 200g/ha- Spinosad 45SC at 200g/ha, SlNPV-250LE at 250ml/ha Bt.k.- (Dipel 8L) at 1lit-Bt.k. (Dipel 8L) at 11it, Bt.k.- (Dipel 8L) at 11it Spinosad-45SC at 200g/ha-Spinosad-45SC at 200g/ha, Bt.k.- (Dipel 8L) at 1lit-SlNPV-250LE at 250ml/ha-SlNPV-250LE at 250ml/ha) was evaluated against S. *litura* revealed that treatment schedule comprising three spray of spinosad 45 SC at 200g/ha at 15 days interval was found most effective in reducing larval population up to 80.33 and 80.88 per cent during 2012-13 and 2013-14, respectively. It also recorded that higher head yield of 287.35 and 291.15 q ha⁻¹ during rabi 2012-13 and 2013-14, respectively. The treatment schedule comprising three spray of Bt.k. (Dipel 8L) at 1 lit/ha at 15 days interval was found least effective which caused the minimum reduction of larval population with the mean of 56.09 and 55.24 per cent during rabi 2012-13 and 2013-14, respectively. The highest benefit: cost ratio of 1.419 and 1.407 was recorded in treatment schedule T_1 comprising three spray of spinosad 45SC at 200g/ha at 15 days interval during rabi 2012-13 and 2013-14, respectively.

Introduction

Cruciferous vegetables have an important place among *rabi* crops grown in India. Cabbage, *Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata* (Linn.), is a popular vegetable that is grown in all the states of India and has appreciable nutritional and economic value. Cabbage is used as salad, boiled vegetable, in curries and pickles; it is rich in minerals and vitamin A, B₁, B₂ and C. The more important insect pests that infest cabbage crop are the tobacco caterpillar (Spodoptera litura Fab.), diamond back moth (Plutella xylostella L.), cabbage semilooper (Trichoplusia ni Hubner), painted bug (Bagrada hilaris Burmeister and Bagrada cruciferarum Kirk.), cabbage butterfly (Pieris brassicae L.), flea beetle (Phyllotreta cruciferae Goeze), aphids (Lipaphis erysimi Kalt. and Brevicoryne brassicae L.), Cabbage leaf webber (Crocidolomia bionotalis Zell) and the mustard saw fly (Athalia lugens proxima Klug.) (Ayyar, 1963; Lall, 1964; Choudhari et al., 2001, and Rao and Lal, 2005). Among these, Spodoptera litura (F.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), is a major pest of cabbage. The pest causes damage to an extent of 80-100 per cent in the nurseries under favourable conditions (Chari et al., 1994) and 10-25 per cent to the field crop (Rao and 2001). eco-friendly Sitaramaiah, An alternative to chemical pesticides is the use of bio-pesticides, which encompasses a broad array of microbial pesticides, bio-chemicals derived from micro-organisms and other natural sources, which confer protection against pest damage. The potential benefits to agriculture and public health programmes through the use of bio-pesticides are considerable. India has a vast potential for bio-pesticides. Bio-pesticides, being target pest specific, are presumed to be relatively safe to non-target organisms including human beings. In India, some of the bio-pesticides like Bt, NPV, neem based pesticides and others have already been registered and are in use (Gupta and Dikshit, 2010). Ramaprasad et al., (2000) advocated the use of Biosap (Bacillus thuringiensis var. kurstaki asporogenic) and Biolep (B. t. var. kurstaki sporogenic) against S. litura in tobacco nurseries. SINPV caused 96 per cent mortality of S. litura within a period of 10 days at a dosage of 6 x 10⁸ PIBs/larva (Sajap et al., 2000). Similarly, the sequential spray of biopesticides viz., SINPV 250 LE (1.5 X 10¹²

PIB/ha, *Btk* @1.0 kg/ha was effective against *S. litura* in tobacco nurseries (Rao and Sitaramaiah, 2001).

Materials and Methods

Layout and design

The experiment on relative bioefficay of three biopesticides *viz.*, Spinosad, *SlNPV and Bt.k.* alone and in different combinations against *S. litura* was conducted at Horticulture farm, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Udaipur during *rabi* 2012-13 and 2013-14.

The experiment was conducted in the randomized block design (RBD) with ten treatments schedules including control, and each treatment schedule was replicated three time. Each treatment schedule was applied three times at 15 days interval initiating first spray in the last week of December when the pest infestation started viz; 30 December and 28 December during rabi 2012-13 and 2013-14, respectively (Table 1). The cabbage variety Golden acre was transplanted on viz. 30 November and 28 November during rabi 2012-13 and 2013-14, respectively. The plot size was $3.60 \times 3.60 \text{ m}^2$ with row to row and plant to plant spacing of 45 x 45 cm, respectively.

Bioefficacy of three biopesticides *viz.*; *SlNPV*, spinosad and *Bt.k.* alone and in different combinations (Table 2) was evaluated against *S. litura.* The details of different treatment schedules are as follows:

Management schedule of biopesticides

Pre-calibrated knap sack sprayer was used for spraying the biopesticides care was taken to check the drift of insecticides, by putting polythene sheet screen around each plot at the time of spraying. In all three sprays were applied, first spray was done during the last week of December during both the years and subsequent second and third sprays were applied at 15 days interval.

Observations

Pretreatment population of *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) was recorded 24 hours before the scheduled spray. Post treatment population of *S. litura* was recorded on 3, 7 and 10 day after each spray, on 10 plants were selected randomly in each plot.

Statistical analysis

Efficacy of different treatments against the *S. litura* was analyzed by analysis of variance. The population data was corrected by the correction factor for determination of per cent reduction (per cent control) using formula given by Henderson and Tilton (1955) refers it to be modification of Abbott (1925).

Per cent reduction in

$$population = 100 x \left[1 - \frac{T_a x C_b}{T_b x C_a} \right]$$

Where,

 T_a = Number of insects in different treatments after spray

 T_b = Number of insects in different treatments before spray

 C_a = Number of insects in the untreated check after spray

 C_b = Number of insects in the untreated check before spray

The per cent reduction figures were transformed into arc sine values and subjected to analysis of variance.

Crop yield and economics

Healthy cabbage heads were harvested when they reached appropriate marketable size and their weight from each treatment was expressed as marketable yield in quintal per hectare and subjected to analysis of variance. The avoidable loss and increase in yield of cabbage heads over control were calculated for each treatment by the formula given by Pradhan (1964):

Increase in yield (%) = Yield in treatment – yield in control ----- x 100 Yield in control

B: C ratio over Control = Return in treatment (Rs./ha)

Return in control (Rs./ha) + Cost of insecticides and Labour (Rs./ha)

Results and Discussion

Effect of biopesticides on the reduction in *S. litura* population

In the present investigations, based on the mean per cent reduction in larval population of S. litura the results showed that T_1 (Spinosad 45 SC @200g/ha - Spinosad 45 SC @200g/ha - Spinosad 45 SC @200g/ha) was most effective in reducing the S. litura population upto (70.32% and 72.13%) during rabi 2012-13 and 2013-14, on cabbage crop which was followed by T_6 (SINPV 250LE @ 250ml/ha - Spinosad 45 SC @200g/ha-Spinosad 45 SC @200g/ha) and resulted in 66.77 and 68.24 per cet reduction during rabi 2012-13 and 2013-14. The present results are in close agreement with the findings of Gupta (2000), Paliwal and Oommen (2005), Stanley et al., (2006) and Topagi et al., (2010) who reported that spinosad suppressed population of S. litura. Mutkule et al., (2009) reported that application of spinosad was superior in suppressing the larval population of S. litura infesting groundnut. SINPV + Spinosad was found against S. litura on cabbage reported by Khattab (2005) found SINPV + Spinosad effective against S. litura on cabbage.

The data further revealed that the biopesticides treatment T_3 [*Bt.k.* (Dipel 8L) at 11it/ha -*Bt.k.* (Dipel 8L) at 11it/ha] was least effective against *S. litura* which gave (56.09% and 55.24%) larval population reduction during *rabi* 2012-13 and 2013-14. Downard (2004) and Prasad and Ahmed (2009) reported that spinosad was highly effective against *S. litura*, similarly Pokharkar *et al.*, (2001) reported that *SlNPV*

and *Bacillus thuringiensis* was most effective resulting in maximum larval mortality and it was at par with *SlNPV* and *B. thuringiensis*. Ramegowda and Basavanagoud (2001) and Rao and Sitaramaiah (2001), Hussain *et al.*, (2003) evaluated the efficacy of *SlNPV* and *Bt.k.* that caused significant reduction in *S. litura* population. Jat and Bhardwaj (2005) reported that *Bt.k.* and *SlNPV* was most effective against *S. litura* larval population.

Table.1 Details of the treatments and their dose used for the management of
Spodoptera litura (Fab)

S. No	Treatments	No. of spray	Formulations	Quantity/dosages(g or ml/ha)
1.	Spinosad	3	45 SC	200
2	SINPV	3	250LE	250
3	Bt.k.	3	8L	1000

SINPV = Spodoptera litura Nuclear Polyhedrosis Viruses; Bt.k. = Bacillus thuringiensis var. kurstaki

Table.2 Management schedule of biopesticides

Treatment	Spray of management schedule
T ₁	Three spray of spinosad 45 SC first at initiation of the pest and subsequent second
	and third spray was applied at 15 days interval.
T ₂	Three spray of SINPV 250 LE first at initiation of the pest and subsequent second
	and third spray was applied at 15 days interval.
T ₃	Three spray of <i>Bt.k.</i> (Dipel 8L) first at initiation of the pest and subsequent second
	and third spray was applied at 15 days interval.
T_4	First spray of spinosad 45 SC at initiation of the pest and subsequent second and
	third spray of SlNPV 250 LE was applied at 15 days interval.
T_5	First spray of spinosad 45 SC at initiation of the pest and subsequent second and
	third spray of <i>Bt.k.</i> (Dipel 8L) was applied at 15 days interval.
T ₆	First spray of SINPV 250 LE at initiation of the pest and subsequent second and
	third spray of spinosad 45 SC was applied at 15 days interval
T ₇	First spray of SINPV 250 LE at initiation of the pest and subsequent second and
	third spray of <i>Bt.k.</i> (Dipel 8L) was applied at 15 days interval.
T_8	First spray of <i>Bt.k.</i> (Dipel 8L) at initiation of the pest and subsequent second and
	third spray of spinosad 45 SC was applied at 15 days interval.
T9	First spray of <i>Bt.k.</i> (Dipel 8L) at initiation of the pest and subsequent second and
	third spray of <i>SlNPV</i> 250 LE was applied at 15 days interval.
T ₁₀	Control

				Mean reduction of S. litura population (%) days after sprays											
			1 st	spray (30	Dec. 2012)			nd spray (14			3	rd spray (29	9 Jan. 2013	3)	Mean
Treatment	Dose (ml/ha or g/ha)	Pre treatment population/ plant	*3 rd	7 th	10 th	Mean	3 rd	7 th	10 th	Mean	3 rd	7 th	10 th	Mean	reduction in <i>S. litura</i> population (%) (1 st +2 nd +3 rd sprays)
T ₁	200g	2.33	51.55	55.35	52.01	52.95	53.33	57.00	54.40	54.88	61.14	68.08	62.26	63.65	57.00
Spinosad	Ũ		(61.33)**	(67.66)	(62.11)	(63.70)	(64.33)	(70.33)	(66.11)	(66.92)	(76.68)	(85.99)	(78.33)	(80.33)	(70.32)
T ₂ SINPV	250ml	2.66	47.68 (54.67)	49.80 (58.33)	46.72 (52.99)	48.04 (55.33)	48.64 (56.33)	52.74 (63.33)	49.22 (57.33)	50.18 (58.99)	53.94 (65.33)	60.77 (76.11)	56.86 (70.11)	57.11 (70.52)	51.72 (61.61)
T ₃ Bt.K	1lit	2.99	45.38	47.68	44.64	45.92	46.53	49.80	48.26	48.22	49.09	52.93	52.35	51.41	48.50
т	200-	2.66	(50.67)	(54.66) 54.95	(49.38) 51.75	(51.57) 52.65	(52.67)	(58.33)	(55.67) 49.80	(55.56) 50.94	(57.11) 54.14	(63.66)	(62.66) 57.01	(61.14)	(56.09)
T ₄ Spinosad-	200g- 250ml-	2.00	51.37 (61.00)	54.95 (67.00)	(61.66)	52.65 (63.22)	49.80 (58.33)	53.34 (64.33)	(58.33)	50.94 (60.33)	54.14 (65.66)	60.92 (76.33)	(70.33)	57.26 (70.77)	53.60 (64.77)
SINPV-	250ml		(01.00)	(07.00)	(01.00)	(03.22)	(38.33)	(04.33)	(38.33)	(00.55)	(03.00)	(70.55)	(70.55)	(70.77)	(04.77)
SINPV															
T ₅ Spinosad- Bt.k-Bt.k	200g- 1lit- 1lit	2.33	51.43 (61.11)	55.15 (67.33)	51.95 (62.00)	52.81 (63.48)	47.49 (54.33)	50.58 (59.67)	48.51 (56.11)	48.85 (56.70)	49.22 (57.33)	53.54 (64.67)	52.74 (63.33)	51.83 (61.78)	51.16 (60.65)
T ₆ SINPV- Spinosad- Spinosad	250ml- 200g- 200g	2.66	47.68 (54.66)	49.61 (58.00)	46.53 (52.67)	47.93 (55.11)	52.53 (62.99)	55.97 (68.66)	54.34 (65.99)	54.27 (65.88)	60.23 (75.33)	67.25 (85.00)	61.80 (77.66)	62.94 (79.33)	54.80 (66.77)
T ₇ SINPV- Bt.K-Bt.K	250ml- 1lit- 1lit	2.99	47.49 (54.33)	49.60 (57.99)	46.53 (52.66)	47.86 (54.99)	46.72 (53.00)	50.18 (58.99)	48.44 (55.99)	48.45 (55.99)	49.22 (57.33)	53.13 (63.99)	52.53 (62.99)	51.59 (61.44)	49.3 0 (57.47)
T ₈ Bt.K- Spinosad- Spinosad	1lit- 200g- 200g	2.66	45.19 (50.33)	47.36 (54.11)	44.49 (49.11)	45.67 (51.18)	52.66 (62.66)	55.65 (68.11)	53.82 (65.11)	53.91 (65.29)	60.08 (75.11)	67.24 (84.99)	61.58 (77.33)	62.80 (79.14)	53.86 (65.21)
T ₉ Bt.K – SINPV – SINPV	1lit- 250ml- 250ml	2.33	45.39 (50.67)	47.48 (54.33)	44.42 (48.99)	45.70 (51.33)	56.67 (48.84)	53.08 (63.90)	49.6 7 (58.11)	50.52 (59.56)	53.75 (64.99)	60.67 (75.99)	56.82 (69.99)	56.99 (70.32)	51.01 (60.40)
T ₁₀ Control	-	2.99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-
S.Em.±		0.13	0.95	0.88	0.87	0.79	0.97	0.95	0.90	0.87	0.98	0.97	0.92	1.02	0.91
C.D at 5%		0.38	2.84	2.64	2.6	2.36	2.92	2.84	2.69	2.59	2.93	2.91	2.75	3.05	2.92

Table.3 Relative efficacy of biopesticides against S. litura on cabbage during rabi 2012-13

**Figures in parentheses are retransformed per cent values, * Days after spray

					Μ	ean reduc	tion of S.	<i>litura</i> pop	ulation (%	%) days af	ter sprays	1			Mean
1	D	Pre	1st	spray (28	Dec. 2012	2)	2n	d spray (1	2 Jan. 20	13)	3r	d spray (2	27 Jan. 201	13)	Mean reduction in S.litura population (%) (1st +2nd +3rd spray) 58.15 (72.13) 52.51 (62.96) 48.01 (55.24) 54.56 (66.37) 51.31 (60.92) 55.71 (68.24) 49.17 (57.24) 54.36 (66.04)
Treatment	Dose (ml/ha or g/ha)	treatment population/ plant	*3 rd	7 th	10 th	Mean	3 rd	7 th	10 th	Mean	3 rd	7 th	10 th	Mean	population (%) (1st +2nd +3rd
T1 Spinosad	200g	2.66	52.60 (63.11)**	56.17 (69.00)	53.33 (64.33)	54.01 (65.48)	54.40 (66.11)	58.49 (72.66)	55.76 (68.33)	56.18 (69.03)	62.28 (78.33)	69.51 (87.66)	63.22 (76.66)	64.05 (80.88)	58.15
T2 SINPV	250ml	2.99	48.44 (55.99)	50.57 (59.66)	47.30 (54.00)	48.76 (56.55)	49.41 (57.66)	53.73 (64.99)	49.99 (58.66)	51.02 (60.44)	54.74 (66.66)	61.82 (77.67)	57.64 (71.33)	57.97 (71.89)	
T3 Bt.K	1lit	3.33	44.99 (49.99)	46.79 (53.11)	44.49 (49.11)	45.42 (50.74)	45.95 (51.66)	49.60 (57.99)	47.49 (54.33)	47.67 (54.66)	48.64 (56.33)	52.53 (62.99)	51.75 (61.66)	50.96 (60.33)	
T4 Spinosad- SINPV- SINPV	200g- 250ml- 250ml	2.99	52.54 (63.00)	56.18 (68.99)	53.14 (64.00)	53.92 (65.33)	50.57 (59.66)	53.80 (65.11)	50.38 (59.33)	51.56 (61.37)	55.02 (67.11)	62.10 (78.11)	58.07 (72.00)	58.34 (72.41)	
T5 Spinosad- Bt.k-Bt.k	200g- 1lit-1lit	2.66	52.73 (63.33)	56.58 (69.66)	53.53 (64.66)	54.24 (65.88)	47.29 (54.00)	49.99 (58.66)	47.86 (54.99)	48.36 (55.88)	49.02 (56.99)	52.93 (63.66)	52.14 (62.33)	51.33 (60.99)	
T6 SINPV- Spinosad- Spinosad	250ml- 200g- 200g	2.99	48.25 (55.66)	50.25 (59.11)	47.10 (53.66)	48.70 (56.14)	53.33 (64.33)	57.42 (70.99)	53.35 (67.66)	55.40 (67.66)	61.82 (77.66)	69.05 (87.11)	62.05 (77.99)	64.10 (80.92)	
T7 SINPV- Bt.K-Bt.K	250ml- 1lit-1lit	3.33	48.06 (55.33)	50.18 (58.99)	46.91 (53.33)	48.36 (55.88)	46.21 (52.11)	49.67 (58.11)	47.68 (54.66)	47.84 (54.96)	49.02 (56.99)	52.74 (63.33)	52.14 (62.33)	51.27 (60.88)	
T8 Bt.K- Spinosad- Spinosad	1lit- 200g- 200g	2.99	44.62 (49.33)	46.72 (52.99)	44.42 (48.99)	45.26 (50.44)	53.20 (64.11)	57.01 (70.33)	54.95 (67.00)	55.03 (67.15)	61.60 (77.33)	68.59 (86.66)	61.80 (77.66)	63.83 (80.55)	
T9 Bt.K – SINPV – SINPV	1lit- 250ml- 250ml	2.66	44.81 (49.66)	46.72 (53.00)	44.43 (49.00)	45.32 (50.55)	49.67 (58.11)	53.75 (65.00)	50.19 (58.99)	51.18 (60.70)	54.95 (67.00)	62.03 (78.00)	57.86 (71.66)	58.60 (72.22)	51.45 (61.16)
T10 Control	-	2.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
S.Em.±		0.29	0.75	0.82	0.74	0.80	0.80	0.92	0.83	0.88	0.94	0.89	0.95	1.04	0.81
C.D. at 5%		0.54	2.24	2.46	2.23	2.38	2.41	2.77	2.50	2.63	2.82	2.67	2.86	3.10	2.43

Table.4 Relative efficacy of biopesticeds against S. litura on cabbage during rabi 2013-14

**Figures in parentheses are retransformed per cent values, * Days after spray

Treatment	Dose (ml/ha or	Mean reduction of <i>S. litura</i> population (%) days after sprays									
	g/ha)		2012-13		2013-14						
		*3 rd	7 th	10 th	3 rd	7 th	10 th				
T ₁ Spinosad	200g	55.21	59.77	56.07	56.28	60.96	56.64				
		(67.44)**	(74.66)	(68.85)	(69.18)	(76.44)	(69.77)				
T ₂ SINPV	250ml	50.05	54.28	50.85	50.83	55.21	51.55				
		(58.77)	(65.92)	(60.14)	(60.10)	(67.44)	(61.33)				
T_3 Bt.K.	1lit	47.16	50.11	48.39	46.52	49.62	47.89				
		(53.48)	(58.88)	(55.90)	(52.66)	(58.03)	(55.03)				
T ₄ Spinosad- SINPV-SINPV	200g-250ml-250ml	51.74	56.30	52.80	52.68	57.25	53.79				
-		(61.66)	(69.22)	(63.44)	(63.25)	(70.73)	(65.11)				
T ₅ Spinosad- Bt.kBt.k.	200g-1lit-1lit	49.36	53.06	51.05	49.66	53.12	51.15				
		(57.59)	(63.89)	(60.48)	(58.10)	(63.99)	(60.66)				
T ₆ SINPV-Spinosa-Spinosad	250ml-200g-200g	53.32	57.13	53.99	54.23	58.30	54.59				
		(64.32)	(70.55)	(65.44)	(65.83)	(72.40)	(66.43)				
T ₇ SINPV- Bt.KBt.K.	250ml-1lit-1lit	47.80	50.95	49.14	47.76	50.85	48.89				
		(54.88)	(60.32)	(57.21)	(54.81)	(60.14)	(56.77)				
T ₈ Bt.KSpinosad-Spinosad	1lit-200g-200g	52.36	56.21	53.04	52.88	56.78	53.45				
		(62.70)	(69.07)	(63.85)	(63.59)	(69.99)	(64.55)				
T ₉ Bt.K. –SINPV –SINPV	1lit-250ml-250ml	47.77	53.57	50.20	49.75	53.93	50.69				
		(54.83)	(64.74)	(59.03)	(58.25)	(65.33)	(59.88)				
T ₁₀ Control	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
S.Em.±	-	0.96	0.93	0.89	0.83	0.87	0.84				
C.D at 5%	-	2.55	2.79	2.68	2.48	2.63	2.66				

Table.5 Cumulative efficacy of biopesticides against S. litura on cabbage during rabi 2012-13 and 2013-14

**Figures in parentheses are retransformed per cent values, * Days after spray

S.No.	Treatments	Dose (ml/ha or g/ha)	No. of Sprays	Average yield (qt/ha)	Increase in yield over control (qt/ha)	Mean avoidble loss (%)	Gross Return (Rs./ha)	Return of increased yield over control (Rs./ha)	Total expenditure (labour + insecticide)	Net profit (Rs./ha)	C:B Ratio Return over control
T_1	Spinosad	200g-200g- 200g	3	287.35	91.70	00.00	431025	137550	10082	127468	1:1.419
T ₂	SINPV	250ml- 250ml- 250ml	3	263.05	67.40	8.46	394575	101100	2682	98418	1:1.332
T ₃	Bt.k	1 lit-1lit-1 lit	3	240.45	44.80	16.32	360675	67200	2832	64368	1:1.220
T ₄	Spinosad- SINPV- SINPV	200g- 250ml- 250ml	1-1-1	272.86	77.21	5.04	409290	115815	5149	110666	1:1.370
T ₅	Spinosad- Bt.k- Bt.k	200g-11it- 11it	1-1-1	255.15	59.50	11.20	382725	892500	5249	84001	1:1.281
T ₆	SINPV- Spinosad – Spinosad	250ml- 200g-200g	1-1-1	279.45	83.80	2.71	419175	125700	7615	118085	1:1.392
T ₇	SlNPV-Bt.k -Bt.k	250ml-11it- 11it	1-1-1	247.85	52.20	13.75	371775	78300	2782	75518	1:1.255
T ₈	Bt.k- Spinosad- Spinosad	11it-200g- 200g	1-1-1	267.85	72.20	6.79	401775	108300	7665	100635	1:1.334
T ₉	Bt.k- SINPV- SINPV	11it-250ml- 250ml	1-1-1	250.25	54.60	12.82	375375	81900	2732	79168	1:1.267
T ₁₀	Control	-	-	195.65	0.00	31.91	293475	-	-	-	-

Table.6 Comparative economics of biopesticide treatments against S. litura on cabbage during rabi 2012-13

(1) Present price of insecticides: Spinosad Rs. = 1533/100ml or g, SINPV Rs. =600/250LE (250ml), Bt. K Rs. = 650/kg or lit

(2) Labour charge @ 147/- per day per labour (2 labour required/spray/day and 6 labour required for 3 spray)

(3) Sale price of cabbage Rs. = 15/kg

S.No.	Treatments	Dose (ml/ha or g/ha)	Sprays	Average yield (qt/ha)	Increase in yield over control (qt/ha)	Mean avoidable loss (%)	Gross Return (Rs./ha)	Return of increased yield over control (Rs./ha)	Total expenditure (labour + insecticide)	Net profit (Rs./ha)	C:B Ratio Return over control
T_1	Spinosad	200g- 200g-200g	3	291.15	91.05	0.00	436725	136575	10202	126373	1:1.407
T ₂	SINPV	250ml- 250ml- 250ml	3	267.10	67.00	8.26	400650	100500	2802	97698	1:1.322
T ₃	Bt.k	1 lit-1lit-1 lit	3	244.75	44.65	15.94	367125	66975	2952	64023	1:1.211
T ₄	Spinosad- SINPV- SINPV	200g- 250ml- 250ml	1-1-1	276.55	76.45	5.01	414825	114675	5268	109407	1:1.358
T ₅	Spinosad- Bt.k- Bt.k	200g-11it- 11it	1-1-1	258.85	58.75	11.09	388275	88125	5369	82756	1:1.271
T ₆	SINPV- Spinosad – Spinosad	250ml- 200g-200g	1-1-1	283.15	83.05	2.75	424725	124575	7735	116840	1:1379
T ₇	SINPV-Bt.k - Bt.k	250ml- 1lit-1lit	1-1-1	251.65	51.55	13.57	377475	77325	2902	74423	1:1.245
T ₈	Bt.k- Spinosad- Spinosad	11it-200g- 200g	1-1-1	271.10	71.00	6.70	406650	106500	7785	98718	1:1.320
T ₉	Bt.k-SINPV- SINPV	1lit- 250ml- 250ml	1-1-1	254.05	53.95	12.74	381075	80925	2852	78073	1:1.258
T ₁₀	Control	-	-	200.10	00.00	31.27	300150	-	-	-	-

Table.7 Comparative economics of biopesticides against S. litura on cabbage during rabi 2013-14

(1) The present price of insecticides: Spinosad Rs. = 1533/100ml or g, *SlNPV* Rs. =600/250LE (250ml) *Bt.k* Rs. = 650/kg or lit

(2) Labour charge @167/- per day per labor (2 labour required/spray/day and 6 labour required for 3 spray)

(3) Sale price of cabbage Rs. = 15/kg

Mabrouk and Abbas (2002), Basappa and Singh (2003), Kumari and Singh (2009) and Ali et al., (2011) reported that the virulence of SINPV proved most effective against S. litura larval population. The results are in conformity with Patil and Hegde (2009) who recorded efficacy of Bt.k. and SlNPV and found then most effective against S. litura larval population. Mandal et al., (2009) recommended three application of spinosad (Success 2.5 SC) at 15 and 30g a.i. for management of S. litura. Muthukumar et al., (2007) reported that spinosad at 75g ai/h, Spinosad, Biolep, emamectin benzoate and neem oil proved safer to natural enemies in the cauliflower ecosystem. Newly introduced insecticides such as spinosad, indoxacarb, SlNPV, rimon or corzen showed proven efficacy against S. litura (Gupta et al., 2004; Mohapatra et al., 1995; Pramanik and Chatterjee, 2004; Muthukumar et al., 2007). Bhutia et al., (2012) reported that the virulenceof SlNPV proved most effective against S. litura larval population. However, Krishnaiah et al., (1981), Malathi et al., (1999), Sharma (2000) and Chatterjee (2008) reported that Bt.k. was effective against S. *litura* larval population. Babu and Krishnavya (1998), reported that the Neem oil, Bt.k. and their combinations were relatively less effective against S. litura but were however superior to untreated control.

Effect of biopesticides application on head yield of cabbage

The results showed that the yield of cabbage heads in all the biopesticidal treatments was significantly superior over untreated plots. The highest yield of 287.35 and 291.15q ha⁻¹ was obtained from the plots treated with T₁ (Spinosad 45 SC @200g/ha - Spinosad 45 SC @200g/ha) and the minimum yield was recorded in T₃ [*Bt.k.* (Dipel 8L) at 11it/ha - *Bt.k.* (Dipel 8L) at 11it/ha], 240.45

and 244.75 q ha⁻¹, during rabi 2012-13 and 2013-14, respectively. The results are in conformity with that of Gupta (2000) who recorded significantly higher yield in spinosad. The present results are also supported from the results of Gupta and Jain (2001) who reported better yield of cabbage heads by the treatment of spinosad. The present results are in agreement with the findings of Prasad and Wadhwani (2005), Kumar and Singh (2009) and Ali et al., (2011) who recorded higher yield of cabbage head obtained from the treatment of SlNPV. These findings are in partial agreement with the results of Ashokan et al., (1996) who observed significant increase in yield of cabbage heads from the treatment of *Bt*.*K*.

Cumulative efficacy of biopesticides

The data presented in table 5 reveal that during 2012-13 the treatment schedule comprising three spray of spinosad 45 SC at 200g/ha at 15 days interval was found effective which caused 67.44, 74.66 and 68.85 per cent reduction in larvae population at 3, 7, and 10 days after three spray respectively. It was followed by treatment schedule T₆ comprising spray of SINPV at 250 LE/ha followed by two spray of spinosad at 200g/ha which caused 64.32, 70.55 and 65.54 per cent reduction at 3,7 and 10 days after three spray, respectively (Table 5). The data on cumulative bioefficacy further revealed treatment Bt.k. was the least effective among the treatment. Similar results were also recorded in table 6 revealed that during 2013-14 the treatment schedule comprising three spray of spinosad 45 SC at 200g/ha at 15 days interval was found effective which caused 69.18, 76.44 and 69.77 per cent reduction in larvae population at 3, 7, and 10 days after three spray respectively. It was followed by treatment schedule T₆ comprising spray of SINPV at 250 LE/ha followed by two spray of spinosad

at 200g/ha which caused 65.83, 72.40 and 66.43 per cent reduction at 3, 7, and 10 days after three spray, respectively. Three spray of Bt.k. was the least effective among all the treatment.

Economics of biopesticides treatments

The utility of any biopesticides in the pest management programme is not only evaluated by its relative potency against the target pest and the period for which its application provides protection to the crop, but the economics of the treatments also is a major consideration. Hence, the benefit cost ratio also worked out in the present was investigation. The data revealed that the maximum profit was obtained from the treatment of T₁ (Spinosad 45 SC @200g/ha -Spinosad 45 SC @ 200 gm/ha - Spinosad 45 SC @200g/ha) which gave a benefit:cost ratio of 1.419 and 1.407, during rabi 2012-13 and 2013-14, respectively. The minimum benefit cost ratio was found in the treatment of T_3 [Bt.k. (Dipel 8L) at 11it/ha - Bt.k. (Dipel 8L) at 1lit/ha - Bt.k. (Dipel 8L) at 1lit/ha] which gave a benefit cost ratio of 1.220 and 1.211, during rabi 2012-13 and 2013-14, respectively. Contrary to the present findings Pokharkar et al., (2001) reported that treatment of SlNPV and Bt.k. to sufficient cost benefit ratio with 1:22.40 and 1:20.29, respectively. The present findings are supported by Prasad and Wadhwani (2005) who reported that SlNPV gave higher economic return (C: B ratio 1:1.524). The benefit cost ratio of biopesticides was comparatively less due to their higher cost (Table 7).

In the present investigations the relative efficacy of three biopesticides viz., spinosad, Bt.k. and SINPV in alone and in nine different schedule combinations was evaluated against *S. litura*. The data for both years (2012-13 and 2013-14) revealed that the treatment

schedule comprising three spray of Spinosad 45 SC at 200g/ha first at initiation of the pest and subsequent second and third spray was applied at 15 days interval was most effective in reducing the S. litura larval population upto 70.32 and 72.13 per cent during rabi 2012-13 and 2013-14, respectively (tables 3 and 4), but the minimum reduction of 56.09 and 55.24 per cent was recorded in treatment schedule comprising three spray of Bt.k. (Dipel 8L) at 1lit/ha first at initiation of the pest and subsequent second and third spray was applied at 15 days interval. The highest yield of 287.35 and 291.15 q ha⁻¹ was obtained from the plots treated with treatment schedule comprising three spray of Spinosad 45 SC at 200g/ha, whereas, the minimum yield 240.45 and 244.75 q ha⁻¹ was recorded in treatment schedule comprising three spray of Bt.k. (Dipel 8L) at 11it/ha, during rabi 2012-13 and 2013-14. The increase in yield over untreated control plot was maximum (46.87 and 45.50% during 2012-13 and 2013-14) in the treatment schedule comprising three spray of spinosad 45 SC at 200g/ha; whereas, the lowest increase in yield (22.90 and 22.31% during 2012-13 and 2013-14) in treatment schedule comprising three spray of *Bt.k.* (Dipel 8L) at 1lit/ha. The highest benefit: cost ratio of 1.419 and 1.407 was recorded in the treatment schedule comprising three spray of spinosad 45 SC at 200g/ha and minimum benefit cost ratio of 1.220 and 1.211 was recorded in the treatment schedule comprising three spray of Bt.k. (Dipel 8L) at 11it/ha during rabi 2012-13 and 2013-14.

References

Ali, S., Kumar, R., Dohray, R. K. and Kumar S. 2011. Evaluation of *SlNPV* with botanicals for management of *Spodoptera litura* on cauliflower. *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences*, 19(2): 464-466.

Ashokan, R., Mohan, K. S. and

Gopalkrishanan, C. 1996. Effect of commercial formulation of *Bacillus thuringiensis* on yield of cabbage at Bangalore, *Insect Environment*, 2 (2): 58-59.

- Ayyar, T.V. and Ramakrishna.1963. Pest of vegetables. In hand book of Entomology pests. Entomology in India.
- Basappa, H. and Singh, H. 2003. Effect of sequential application of biopesticides and endosulfan on Spodoptera litura (Fab.). Proceeding of National Symposium Frontier Areas of Entomology Research, 5-7 November, New Delhi.
- Bhutia, K.C., Chakravarthy, A.K., Doddabasappa, B., Narabenchi, G.B. and Lingaraj, V.K. 2012. Evaluation and production of improved formulation of Nuclear Polyhedrosis Virus of Spodoptera litura. Bulletin of Insectology, 65(2): 247-256.
- Chari, M.S., Rao, R.S.N. and Sreedhar, U. 1994. Integrated management of *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) in India. *Bulletin* of *Species*. CORESTA. Congress, Harare, 99.
- Chatterjee, Hirka. 2008. Potentialities of some microbials against important lepidopteran borers in West Bengal. (*Insect and Environment* VIII). Emerging trends of researches in insect pest management and environmental safety, Volume, 9(1): 181-188.
- Choudhari, N., Deb, D.C. and Semapati, S.K. 2001. Assessment of loss in yield caused by pest coplex of tomato under terai region of West Bengal. *Research on Crops*, 2(1): 71-79.
- Choudhari, N., Ghosh, J. and Senapati, S.K. 2001. Incidence of insect pests of cabbage in relation to prevailing climatic conditions of Tarai region. *Indian Journal of Entomology*, 63(4): 421-428.
- Downward, P. 2004. Spinosad controls a

range of lepidopteran pests in crucifers in Australia. The management of diamond back moth and other crucifer pests. Proceedings of the Fourth International Workshop, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, 351-356.

- Gupta, G.P., Seema, R., Ajanta, B., and Raghuraman, M. 2004. Relative toxicity of certain new insecticides against *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.). *Pesticides Research Journal*, 16: 45-47.
- Gupta, H.C.L. 2000. Bio-efficacy of spinosad 2.5 SC against pests of cabbage. Final technical report of the project sponsored by De-Nocil Crop Production Limited. *Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture and Techonology*, Udaipur, pp. 1-17.
- Gupta, H.C.L. and Jain, K.L. 2001. Bioefficacy of spinosad against pests of cabbage. National Conference: Plant Protection, NCPP-New Horizons in the Millennium *Entomological Research Association*, Udaipur, Pp-24.
- Gupta, Suman and Dikshit, A.K. 2010. Biopesticides: An ecofriendly approach for pest control. *Journal of Biopesticides*, 3(1): 186-18.
- Hussain, M.A., Pachori, R. and Choudhary, B.S. 2003. Management of *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) on cabbage with special reference to microbial pesticides. *Research on Crop*, 4(2): 263-267.
- Jahan, F., Askarianzadeh, A., Abbasipour, H., Hasanshahi, G. and Saeedizadeh. 2013.
 Effect of various cauliflower cultivars on population density fluctuations of the cabbage aphid, *Brevicoryne brassicae* (L.) (Homptera: Aphididae) and its parasitoid *Diaeretiella rapae* (McIntosh) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae).
 Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection, 46(18): 2208.
- Jat, M.C. and Bhardwaj, S.C. 2005. Combined effect of *Bacillus thuringiensis* and *SlNPV* with

malathion, decamethrin and azadirachtin against larvae of *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius) on cauliflower. *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences*, 13(1): 119-122.

- Khattab, M. 2007. Enhancement of the cotton *Spodoptera* leaf worm. littoralis (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) Nuclear Polyhedrosise Virus activity by Spinosad. Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control, 17(1/2): 147-152.
- Krishnaiah, K., Mohan, N.J. and Prasad, V.G. 1981. Efficacy of *Bacillus thuringiensis* for the control of lepidopterous pests of vegetable crops. *Entomon*, 6(2): 87-94.
- Kumari, V. and Singh, N.P. 2009. Spodoptera litura Nuclear Polyhedrosis Virus (SlNPV) as a component in Integrated Pest Management (IPM) of Spodoptera litura (Fab.) on cabbage. Journal of Biopesticides, 2(1): 84-86. 20.
- Lall, B.S. 1964. Vegetable pests. In Entomology in India. *Entomological Society of India*, New Delhi.187-211.
- Mabrouk, A.M. and Abbas, E.P. 2002. Effectiveness of nuclear polyhedrosis virus against the cotton leafworm, *Spodoptera littoralis* (Biosd.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) Arab-Universities. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 10(1): 391-403.
- Malathi, S., Sriramulu, M. and Babu, T. Ramesh. 1999. Evaluation of certain eco-friendly insecticides against Lepidoptera pests of cabbage. *Indian Journal of Entomology*, 16 (2): 127-133.
- Mandal, K., Gagan, J., and Singh, B. 2009.
 Dissipation kinetics of spinosad on cauliflower (*Brassica oleraceavar*. *Botrytis* L.) Under subtropical conditions of Punjab, India. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 83(6): 808-811.
- Mohapatra, S., Sawarkar, S., Patnaik, H.P.

and Senapati, B. 1995. Antifeedant activity of solvent extracts of neem seed kernel against *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) and their persistency against sunlight through encapsulation. *International journal of pest management*, 41(3): 154-156.

- Muthukumar, M., Sharma, R. K., and Sinha, S. R. (2007). Field efficacy of biopesticides and new insecticides against major insect pests and their effect on natural enemies in cauliflower. *Pesticide Research Journal*, 19 (2): 190-196.
- Mutkule, D.S., Patil, B.V., Aglave, B.N.and Jagtap, P.K. 2009. Field evaluation of new molecules for the control of *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius) on groundnut. *Indian Journal of Entomology*, 71(4): 292-295.
- Paliwal, S. and Oommen, S. 2005. Effect of spinosad against tobacco caterpillar in cauliflower. *Indian Journal of Applied Entomoloy*, 10(2): 116-117.
- Patil, R.H. and Hegde. 2009. Biorational approaches for the management of Lepidopteran pests of soybean. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 22(3): 484-486.
- Pokharkar, D.S., Charati, S.N., Bade, B.A. and Ghorpsde, S.A. 2001. Evaluation of biocontrol agents for managing *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) damaging potato (*Solanum tuberosum*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science*, 71(12): 797-799.
- Pradhan, S. 1964. Assessment of losses caused by insect-pests of crops of crops and estimation of insect population. In: Entomology in India, *Entomological society of India*, New Delhi, pp. 17-58.
- Pramanik, P and Chatterjee, M.L. 2004. Effects of novaluron on the population of *Plutella xylostella* and *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) on cabbage. *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences*, 12(1): 204-

205.

- Prasad, A. and Yogita, Wadhwani. 2005. A. thesis submitted to Mohan Lal Sukhadia University, Udaipur Rajasthan (Indian).
- Prasad, N.V.V.S.D. and Ahmed, Khalid. 2009. Efficacy of spinosad 45 SC against thrips, *Scitrothrips dorsalis* (Hood) and pod borer, *Spodoptera exigua* (Hubner) on chillies. *Pesticide Research Journal*, 21(1): 49-51.
- Ramaprasad, G., Sitaramaiah, S. and Sreedhar, U. 2000. Efficay of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Berliner formulations against tobacco caterpillar, *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) in tobacco nurseries. *Tobacco Research.*, 26 (2): 1-6.
- Ramegowde, G.K. and Basavangoud, K. 2001. Management of insecticides resistance in *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) by microbial agents and newer molecule. *Karnataka Journal of Science*, 14(4): 1088-1092.
- Rao, S.G. and Sitaramaiah, S. 2001.
 Application strategies for microbial pesticides against *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) and *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub.). *Tobacco Research*, 27(1): 12-18.
- Rao, S.R.K. and Lal, O.P. 2005. Seasonal

incidence of mustard aphid, *Lipaphis* erysimi (Kalt.) and diamond back moth, *Plutella xylostella* (L.) on cabbage. *Journal of Insect Science*, 18(2): 106-110.

- Sajap. A.S., Kotuli, J.R., Bakir, M.A., Hong, L.W., Samad, N.A. and Kadir, H.A. 2000. Pathogenicity and characteristics of *Spodoptera litura* Nucleopolyhedrovirus from Peninsular Malaysia. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agriculture Science*, 23(1): 23-28.
- Sharma, A.N. 2000. Bioefficacy of *Bacillus thuringensis* based biopesticides against *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) and *Spilaractia oblique* Walker feeding on soybean. *Crop Research*. Hisar, 19(2): 373-375.
- Stanley, J., Chandrasekaran, S., Regupathy, A. and Jasmine, R.S. 2006. Base line toxicity of emamectin and spinosad to Spodoptera litura (Fab.). Annals of Plant Protection Sciences, 14(2): 346-349.
- Topagi, S.C., Rao, G.R., Rao, P.A. and Rao, V.S. 2010. Evaluation of certain ecofriendly insecticides against insect-pest complex of sunflower, *Helianthus* annuus L. Journal of Oilseeds Research, 27(1): 67-68.

How to cite this article:

Jat, G.C., R. Swaminathan, P.C. Yadav, Swati, H.L. Deshwal, Suman Choudhary and Suresh Kumar Yadav. 2017. Relative Efficacy and Economics of Bio-pesticides against *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) on Cabbage. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 6(6): 1853-1866. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.606.216</u>